# Acts 25:1-27
## "Paul and the Jews - Part IV"

**Summary**
- Paul is tried before Festus
- Paul appeals again to Caesar

**Outline**
   I.     Festus arrived to his new post and visits Jerusalem - vs. 1
   II.    The Jews want to lay a trap for Paul - vs. 2-3
   III.   Festus wants a proper trial for Paul back in Caesarea - vs. 4-5
   IV.   The trial before Festus - vs. 6-9
       A.  The Jew's charges - vs. 7
       B.  Paul's defense - vs. 8
       C.  Festus suggest going to Jerusalem to face a Jewish trial - vs. 9
   V.    Paul appeals to Caesar - vs. 10-12
   VI.   Festus consults with Agrippa - vs. 13-22
       A.  Festus' explanation - vs. 14-21
       B.  Agrippa agrees to hear Paul, being more familiar with Jewish religion - vs. 22
   VII.  Paul before Agrippa - vs. 23-27
       A.  The ceremony - vs. 23
       B.  Festus' preliminary remarks - vs. 24-25
       C.  The purpose - to ascertain the charges to pass the case along to Caesar - vs. 26-27
   VIII. TO BE CONTINUED....

# Notes

**Festus**
- Festus Haggen was a deputy sheriff in Dodge City.... wait, wrong Festus!
- Porcius Festus
- Roman Procurator of Judea from about A.D. 59 to 62
- Replaced Felix after he was recalled to Roman after many complaints
- Worked diligently to suppress growing rebellion among the Jews
- Died while in office

**Agrippa**
- Marcus Julius Agrippa (often called Agrippa II)
- Son of Agrippa I (see Acts 12) and great-grandson of Herod the Great
- Ruled various areas from 48 A.D. until death around 92 or 100 A.D.
- Had the right to oversee the Temple, including appointment of the Hight Priest
- Accused of incestuous relationship with sister Berenice
- Died with no children and was the last of the Herodian rulers
- Like many Herodian rulers, he had respect among the Jews and seems to have followed enough of their religion to be counted as a Jew

**Appealing to Caesar**
- Right of a Roman citizen to appeal his case to Caesar
- Protects the Roman citizen from unjust trial and punishment
- The appealer is sent to Rome with a letter explaining the case